UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/560,178	12/09/2005	Scott Benton		1383	
	60333 7590 02/28/2008 EDWIN D. SCHINDLER			EXAMINER	
FIVE HIRSCH AVENUE			WENDELL, MARK R		
P.O. BOX 966 CORAM, NY 11727-0966			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3635		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/560,178	BENTON, SCOTT		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MARK R. WENDELL	3635		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror tute, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ TI 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 10-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	rawn from consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is older.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hershey (US 5867963) in view of Martin (US 5850721). Regarding claim 11, Hershey illustrates in Figure 1 a parallel chord truss (20) comprising:

- Elongated lateral chord members (22, 24) with at least one of the chord members being continuous;
- A penetrable separating member (28, 28A, 28B, 34A, 34B) in fixed engagement to at least one chord member (22, 24);
- A means for cross-bracing (52, 56) lateral chord members (22, 24) for permitting access to the penetrable separating member (52, 56).

However, Hershey does not distinctly teach the penetrable separating members having at least one aperture therethrough.

Martin illustrates in Figures 1, 3 and 4 a penetrable separating member (22) for permitting the passage of cabling (see abstract of Martin). It would have been obvious

Art Unit: 3635

to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the Hershey reference to include the penetrable web with an aperture instead of the solid web (34) in order to provide more apertures to pass utilities through (motivation from abstract of Martin).

Regarding claims 12 and 13, Hershey discloses in Column 1, lines 55-61, that the chord members are made from vertical plywood or particleboard. The examiner notes that plywood is a wood laminate.

Regarding claim 14, Hershey illustrates in Figure 1 that the penetrable separating member (28, 28A, 28B) is an elongate sheet material (wood) in sleeved engagement with each chord member (22, 24). Martin also shows in Figure 2 the separating member being an elongate sheet material in sleeved engagement with each chord member (24).

Regarding claim 15 and 16, Hershey discloses in Column 1, lines 55-61 that the penetrable separating member is made from particleboard.

Regarding claim 17, Hershey discloses in Column 3, lines 41-50 that the means for cross-bracing (52, 56) the lateral chord members (22, 24) is a multi-toothed nail plate connector nailed to the chord member. The examiner notes that nailing is a fastening form that requires pressing an object into another.

Art Unit: 3635

Regarding claim 18, Hershey illustrates in Figure 1 the cross bracing members (52, 56) being oriented substantially diagonally across the penetrable separating member (28, 28A, 28B).

Regarding claim 19, Hershey illustrates in Figure 1 the cross-bracing members (52, 56) being in a substantially V-shaped pattern. The examiner notes that penetrable separating members (28, 28A, 28B) could also be considered cross-bracing members, and they are also substantially V-shaped.

Regarding claim 20, Hershey illustrates in Figure 1 a penetrable separating member (28, 28A, 28B) having apertures (26) so that cabling is passable. Also, it is shown in Martin that additional apertures (40) are present in the web separating member (22) for passing cabling through.

Regarding claim 21, Hershey illustrates in Figure 1 the lateral chord members having a discontinuous chord member to accommodate an obstacle positioned within an aperture.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The rejections are found above.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARK R. WENDELL whose telephone number is (571)270-3245. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:30AM-5PM, Alt. Fri off, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (571) 272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,178 Page 6

Art Unit: 3635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Richard E. Chilcot/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3635

/M. R. W./ Examiner, Art Unit 3635 February 15, 2008